# THE SPECTRUM OF RENAL BIOPSY FINDINGS IN PATIENTS WITH DIABETES MELLITUS

Gnanapriya Vellaisamy<sup>1</sup>, Dheepa Senthilkumar<sup>1</sup>, Renuka Malipatel<sup>1</sup>, Anuradha Ananthamurthy<sup>1</sup>, Prashanth G Kedlaya<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, <sup>2</sup>Department of Nephrology, St. Johns Medical College and Hospital, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

### Introduction

- Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is one of the most common cause of End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD)
- Renal disease is more complex and diverse in Type II diabetics; Undergo renal biopsies if there is an atypical course
- Prevalence of Non-Diabetic renal disease (NDRD) ranges from 27-79% in Type II diabetic patients<sup>(1)</sup>
- Diagnosing NDRD is important as it leads to a specific change in therapy. However, the utility of pathological diagnosis in predicting the prognosis of Type II diabetics is still questionable

## . Aim

- . To assess the frequency of Diabetic nephropathy (DN), Diabetic Nephropathy with superimposed Non-Diabetic Renal Disease (NDRD) and Non-Diabetic Renal Disease among patients who underwent renal biopsy
- . To correlate the various clinical parameters and laboratory data with the subgroups and classes of DN

#### **Methods**

This is a combined retrospective and prospective study for a period of 5 years

The biopsies were divided into three subgroups: DN, DN with superimposed NDRD and NDRD

DN was classified into four classes according to Tervaret classification

Relevant statistical analysis was used. P value less than 0.05 is considered as statistically significant

Table 1. Frequency of DN with superimposed         NDRD & NDRD alone				Table 2. Correlation between the patients of DN, DN with         superimposed NDRD and NDRD with various clinical and la-         boretory parameters							Table 3. Correlation of the Classes of DN with various clinical and laboratory parameters						
<b>DN with superimposed NDRD</b>	Frequency (n) 2	Percentage (%)           6.45%           3.22%	boratory parameters							Parameters		Class IIA(n=9)		Class III (n=25)	Class IV (n=16)	p value	
Membranous nephropathy			- Parameters		DN alone (n= 53)	DN with su- perimposed NDRD (n=32)		Overall (n=109)	p value				(11-3)	(11-23)	(II-10)		
mmune complex mediated Membran- proliferative glomerulonephritis	1									Age(years) Sex	Males	59.67 ± 7.7 9(100%)	$49 \pm 9.8$ 3(100%)	56.96 ± 9.1 19(76%)	$53.94 \pm 7.7$ $14(88\%)$	0.1	
nfection related glomerulonephritis	4	12.9%	Age (yea	rs)	56.06±8.7	52.8 ±11.98	53.2 ±11.4	54.5±10.3	0.387		Females	× /	0	6(24%)	2(12.5%)		
gA dominant post infectious glomerulo- ephritis	· 2	6.45%	Sex			25(78.1%)	15(63%)	85 (78%)	0.089	Duration (years) HbA1C(%)	$8.22 \pm 4.4$ $8.49 \pm 1.3$		$9.52 \pm 5.3$ $8.02 \pm 1.92$	$9.38 \pm 3.1$ $8.09 \pm 2.63$	0.057		
gA nephropathy	5	16.12%		Females	8(15.1%)	7(21.9%)	9(37.5%)	24 (22%)				$0.77 \pm 1.5$	$9.2 \pm 2.01$	0.02 - 1.72	$0.07 \pm 2.03$	0.70	
FSGS	3	9.67%	Duration (years)		8.8 ±4.6	8.9±7.35	6.8±4.9	8.40±5.64	0.007	DR		2(22.2%)	1(33.3%)	9(36%)	3(18.6%)	0.323	
	2	6.45%	HbA1C(9	HbA1C(%)		6.94±1.58	7±1.44	$7.56 \pm 1.89$	0.057	Hypertension		6(66.7%)	· · · ·	17(68%)	13(81.3%)		
Collapsing glomerulopathy	2		Hyperten	sion	37(69.8%)	26(81.3%)	19(79.2%)	82(75.2%)	0.437		.1	0(00.770)	1(33.370)		15(01.570)	0.570	
Acute pyelonephritis	1	3.22%	DR	DR		6(18.8%)	4(16.7%)	25(22.9%)	0.711	eGFR (mL/		35 3+27 7	83.5±47.2	46 5+27 3	$16.7 \pm 16.1$	< 0.001	
Subulointerstitial nephritis	11	35.48%	eGFR (mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )		) 37.7±30.3	28.6±25.8	58.6±40.2 39.6±30.1		0.002	$\min(1.73m^2)$		55.5-27.7	05.5-17.2	10.5-27.5	10.7 - 10.1		
NDRD alone	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	24 hour u (mg)	rine protein	3939.04 ±	4047.3±	2909.4±	3744.13 ±	0.012	24 hour uring (mg/24 hours	1	4111±	3606.53 ±	3595.62 ±	4440.07 ±	0.23	
Membranous nephropathy	4	16.7%	-		1325.5	1491.8	1988.5	1589.85			,	1441.41	856.9	1467.51	966.66		
mmune complex mediated Membran- proliferative glomerulonephritis	3	12.5%	- Serum cr dL)	eatinine (mg/	3.71±3.49	$4.38 \pm 3.48$	2.27±2.48	3.59±3.35	0.06	Proteinuria		7(77.8%)	2(66.7%)	14(56%)	13(81.3%)	0.23	
nfection related glomerulonephritis	3	12.5%	Serum al	oumin (g/dL)	2.77±1.28	2.69±1.21	3.07±1.02	2.81±1.21	0.466	Serum creati	nine (mg	$3.2 \pm 2.46$	1.34 ±	$2.29 \pm 2.01$	$6.65 \pm 4.31$	<0.001	
gA nephropathy	6	25%	UPCR	UPCR		8.2±5.89	5.99±6.17	7.55±6.48	0.404	dL)			0.92				
FSGS	4	16.7%	FBS (mg	/dL)					0.005	Serum album (g/dL)	nin	2.52 ±		$2.61 \pm 1.25$	$2.91 \pm 1.14$	0.28	
C3 glomerulopathy with granulomatous	1	4.2%	Hematuri	a	148.4±51.8	118.9±34.4		133.9±45.8	0.926	UPCR		1.63 6.67 ±	0.58 7.72 ±	$5.94 \pm 3.65$	$11.6 \pm 10.5$	0.0078	
mmune complex mediated glomerulo- hephritis with crescents	1	4.2%	Pyuria		18(33.96%)	13(40.63%)			0.087		<u></u>	4.45	5.67				
Thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA)	1	4.2%	Proteinur	ia:	12(22.64%)	10(31.25%)	10(41.7%)	32(29.4%)		FBS (mg/dL)	)	146.8±76.	$ 161.7\pm38.$	148.8±36.4	146.2±61.9	0.97	
Class III Lupus nephritis	1	4.2%	<3500mg		17(32.1%)	8(25%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	38(34.9%)	0.126	Serum chole	sterol	7 169.3±61.	1 234±92.6	196.6±76.7	208.4±53.5	5 0.439	
			Proteinur >3500mg		36 (67.9%)	24 (75%)	11 (45.8%)	71(65.1%)	0.120	(mg/dL))		9		10(400/)	5(21.250/)	0.005	
										Hematuria		2(22.22%)	1(33.33%)	10(40%)	5(31.25%)	0.635	

#### Results

- In this study of 109 Type 2 Diabetic patients, 48.6% had DN alone, 29.4% had DN with NDRD and 22% had NDRD alone
- 52.8% had Diabetes for > 10 years in DN group whereas the duration was < 4 years in NDRD group in
- 41.7% individuals
- The most common indication for renal biopsy was nephrotic syndrome(35.8%)
- . Class III DN(47.2%) was the most common class
- The most common DN with superimposed NDRD and NDRD were tubulointerstitial nephritis(34.4%) and IgA nephropathy(25%) (Table 1) . Long duration of Diabetes, low eGFR and increased 24-hour urine protein were found to be significant in the DN with superimposed NDRD group (Table 2) Low eGFR, increased UPCR and raised serum creatinine were significantly higher in class IV DN as compared to the other class (Table 3) . IFTA score was significant in DN group as compared to others (p value 0.02) whereas hyalinosis was more commonly seen in mixed group (p value 0.002) Higher score of IFTA and globally sclerosed glomeruli were more commonly seen in class IV DN (<0.001 and <0.001) 30 patients out of 72(27.5%) were dialysis dependent during follow up . The mean duration of follow up was  $18.8 \pm 18.8$  months The renal outcome between the subgroups and classes of DN were not statistically significant with p value of 0.586 and 0.135 respectively
- **Discussion & Conclusion**
- . This was a comprehensive study of renal biopsies in diabetic patients

Similar to other studies, IgA nephropathy and Tubulointerstitial nephritis were the most common renal disease in NDRD and mixed group respectively<sup>(2,3)</sup>

- DN with NDRD had a longer duration of diabetes, low eGFR and heavy degree of proteinuria
- Frequently, more than one disease process is discovered in a diabetic renal biopsy. Hence, biopsy is an invaluable tool in detecting nondiabetic renal changes, helps in guiding management decision and assessing the prognosis

### References

1.Sharma SG, Bomback AS, Radhakrishnan J, Herlitz LC, Stokes MB, Markowitz GS, et al. The modern spectrum of renal biopsy findings in patients with diabetes. Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology. 2013 Oct 7;8(10):1718-24 2. Chong YB, Keng TC, Tan LP, Ng KP, Kong WY, Wong CM, et al. Clinical predictors of non-diabetic renal disease and role of renal biopsy in diabetic patients with renal involvement: a single centre review. Renal failure. 2012 Apr 1;34(3):323-8 3. Oh SW, Kim S, Na KY, Chae DW, Kim S, Jin DC, et al. Clinical implications of pathologic diagnosis and classification for diabetic nephropathy. Diabetes research and clinical practice. 2012 Sep 1;97(3):418-24